requires and when there is no undue delay, bad faith, or dilatory motive on the part of the moving 1 party. See Wright v. Incline Village General Imp. Dist., 597 F.Supp.2d 1191 (D. Nev. 2009); DCD 2 3 Programs, LTD v. Leighton, 883 F.2d 183 (9th Cir. 1987). 4 Here, Jones requests leave to amend his complaint to add an additional class representative, 5 Josh Watson; add four additional defendants; and add an additional cause of action under the 6 TCPA for alleged violations of the national "do-not-call" list. See Doc. #48. A copy of the proposed amended complaint is attached to the motion in accordance with LR 15-1. Doc. #48, 8 Exhibit 1. 9 The court finds that there is no undue delay, bad faith, or dilatory motive on behalf of Jones 10 in requesting leave to amend his complaint. Further, the court finds that the matter is early in 11 discovery and that the defendants would not be prejudiced by allowing amendment. Moreover, defendants did not oppose Jones' motion. Accordingly, Jones shall be granted leave to amend his 12 13 complaint. 14 15 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for leave to file an amended 16 complaint (Doc. #48) is GRANTED. Plaintiff Charles A. Jones shall have ten (10) days after entry of this order to file the amended complaint attached as Exhibit 1 to the motion for leave to file an 17 18 amended complaint (Doc. #48, Exhibit 1). 19 IT IS SO ORDERED. 20 DATED this 13th day of January, 2015. ishe. 21 22 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 23 24 25

26